

authorization. Consequently, EPA intends to grant final authorization for the additional program modifications to South Dakota. The public may submit written comments on EPA's immediate final decision up until October 8, 1993. Copies of South Dakota's application for program revision are available for inspection and copying at the locations indicated in the ADDRESSES section of this notice.

Approval of South Dakota's program revision shall become effective in 60 days unless an adverse comment pertaining to the State's revision discussed in this notice is received by the end of the comment period. If an adverse comment is received EPA will publish either: (1) A withdrawal of the immediate final decision; or (2) a notice containing a response to comments which either affirms that the immediate final decision takes effect or reverses the decision.

In June 1992, South Dakota submitted a draft application for EPA review. EPA's comments on the draft application were adequately addressed in the final application. Thus, the South Dakota program is granted immediate final authorization for Availability of Information (RCRA 3006(f)).

South Dakota has not requested hazardous waste program authority on Indian Country. The Environmental Protection Agency retains all hazardous waste authority under RCRA which applies to Indian Country in South Dakota.

#### C. Decision

I conclude that South Dakota's application for program revision meets all of the statutory and regulatory requirements established by RCRA. Accordingly, South Dakota is granted final authorization to operate its hazardous waste program as revised.

South Dakota now has responsibility for permitting treatment, storage, and disposal facilities within its borders and carrying out other aspects of the RCRA program, subject to the limitation of its revised program application and previously approved authorities. South Dakota also has primary enforcement responsibilities, although EPA retains the right to conduct inspections under section 3007 of RCRA and to issue orders under sections 3008, 3013, and 7003 of RCRA.

In addition, South Dakota has submitted a draft application for non-HSWA clusters 3, 4, 5, and 6; and HSWA cluster 1, and 2, excluding land disposal restriction and Toxicity Characteristics (TC) rules. The State has agreed to submit a final application from South Dakota for the above clusters

by September 30, 1993, in addition, a draft application for RCRA cluster 1 and land disposal restriction rules by December 31, 1993, a draft application for RCRA cluster 2 by December 31, 1994. Final applications will be submitted within 60 working days of receipt of EPA's comments on the draft application. The State has agreed to submit a final application for the TC rules within six months of EPA's decision on the proposed rule (December 24, 1992) to suspend the Toxicity Characteristics rule (Hazardous Waste Codes D018 through D043).

#### Compliance With Executive Order 12291

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

#### Certification Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act

Pursuant to the provisions of 4 U.S.C. 605(b), I hereby certify that this authorization will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This authorization effectively suspends the applicability of certain Federal regulations in favor of South Dakota's program, thereby eliminating duplicative requirements for handlers of hazardous waste in the State. It does not impose any new burdens on small entities. This rule, therefore, does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 271

Administrative practice and procedure, Confidential business information, Environmental protection, Hazardous materials transportation, Hazardous waste Indian lands, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Water pollution control, Water supply.

**Authority:** This notice is issued under the authority of sections 2002(a), 3006 and 7004(b) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act as amended 42 U.S.C. 6912 (a), 6926, 6974(b).

Dated: August 30, 1993.

Jack W. McGraw,  
Acting Regional Administrator,  
[FR Doc. 93-21802 Filed 9-7-93; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

#### 40 CFR Part 281

[FRL-4725-7]

#### Washington; Final Approval of State Underground Storage Tank Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of final determination on Washington's application for final approval.

**SUMMARY:** The State of Washington has applied for final approval of its underground storage tank program under Subtitle I of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed Washington's application and has reached a final determination that Washington's underground storage tank program satisfies all of the requirements necessary to qualify for final approval. Thus, EPA is granting final approval to the State of Washington to operate its program.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** Final approval for Washington shall be effective October 8, 1993.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joan Cabreza, Chief, Underground Storage Tank Section, EPA Region 10, WD-133, 1200 Sixth Ave., Seattle, WA 98101. Phone: (206) 553-1643.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### A. Background

Section 9004 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) enables EPA to approve State underground storage tank (UST) programs to operate in the State in lieu of the Federal UST program. To qualify for final authorization, a state's program must: (1) Be "no less stringent" than the Federal program; and (2) provide for adequate enforcement of compliance with UST standards (Sections 9004(a) and 9004(b) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6991c(a) and 6991c(b)).

On December 2, 1992, Washington submitted an official application for final program approval. On April 19, 1993, EPA published a tentative decision announcing its intent to grant Washington final approval of its program. Further background on the tentative decision to grant approval appears at 58 FR 21135 (April 19, 1993).

Along with the tentative determination, EPA announced the availability of the application for public comment. Also, EPA provided notice that a public hearing would be provided only if significant public interest on substantive issues was shown. EPA received no comments, and, therefore, a public hearing was not scheduled regarding EPA's approval of Washington's UST program.

##### B. Decision

I conclude that the State of Washington's application for final approval meets all the statutory and



regulatory requirements established by Subtitle I of RCRA. Accordingly, Washington is granted final approval to operate its UST program. The State of Washington now has the responsibility for managing all regulated UST facilities within its borders and carrying out all aspects of the Federal UST program except with regard to Indian lands, where EPA will retain and otherwise exercise regulatory authority. Washington also has primary enforcement responsibility, although EPA retains the right to conduct inspections under Section 9005 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6991d, and to take enforcement actions under Section 9006 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6991e.

#### **Compliance With Executive Order 12291**

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

#### **Certification Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act**

Pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 605(b), I hereby certify that this approval will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This approval effectively suspends the applicability of certain Federal regulations in favor of Washington's program, thereby eliminating duplicative requirements for owners and operators of underground storage tanks in the State. It does not impose any new burdens on small entities. This rule, therefore, does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

#### **List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 281**

Administrative practice and procedure, Hazardous materials, State program approval, Underground storage tanks.

**Authority:** This notice is issued under the authority of sections 2002(a), 7004(b), and 9004 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6974(b), and 6991(c).

Dated: August 6, 1993.

**Randall F. Smith,**

*Acting Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 93-21713 Filed 9-7-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## **FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY**

### **44 CFR Part 3**

RIN 3067-AC19

#### **Removal of Standards of Conduct**

**AGENCY:** Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule removes 44 CFR part 3, Standards of Conduct, which is superseded by governmentwide standards of conduct, and reserves part 3.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 22, 1993.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William R. Cumming, Office of the General Counsel, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-4103.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On August 7, 1992, the Office of Government Ethics (OGE) published revised Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Executive Branch, 5 CFR part 2635, 57 FR 35006. Those standards became effective February 3, 1993. They supersede existing agency standards of ethical conduct. FEMA's agency standards of conduct are published in 44 CFR part 3.

FEMA's rules on Conduct and Responsibilities of Employees include provisions relating to the filing and review of financial disclosure reports. Those rules were superseded by OGE's revised rules on financial disclosure published on April 7, 1992, 5 CFR parts 2633 and 2634, 47 FR 11800.

By memorandum of April 22, 1993 FEMA's Designated Agency Ethics Officer (DAEO) and Deputy DAEOs revoked 44 CFR part 3, and determined that no supplemental FEMA regulations concerning ethics were required at that time. Subsequently, FEMA Instruction 1100.1, Standards of Conduct, dated April 27, 1993, adopting OGE regulations relating to standards of conduct, was published and distributed to FEMA employees.

#### **National Environmental Policy Act**

This rule is excluded from the requirements of 44 CFR part 10, Environmental Consideration. No environmental impact assessment has been prepared.

#### **Regulatory Flexibility Act**

The Director of FEMA certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5

U.S.C. 601 et seq., because the rule relates to the responsibilities of employees of FEMA, and will have no direct effect on small business or governmental entities. Accordingly, no regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared.

#### **Regulatory Impact Analysis**

This rule is not a major rule as defined under Executive Order 12291, Federal Regulation, February 17, 1981. No regulatory impact analysis has been prepared.

#### **Paperwork Reduction Act**

This rule does not involve any collection of information for purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

#### **Executive Order 12612, Federalism**

This rule involves no policies that have federalism implications under Executive Order 12612, Federalism, dated October 26, 1987.

#### **Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets the applicable standards of section 2(b)(2) of Executive Order 12778.

#### **List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 3**

Conflict of interests.

#### **PART 3—[REMOVED]**

Accordingly, under the authority of 5 U.S.C. App. 402, and Executive Order 12674 of April 12, 1989, as modified by Executive Order 12731, 44 CFR part 3 is removed and reserved in its entirety.

Dated: September 1, 1993.

**James L. Witt,**

*Director.*

[FR Doc. 93-21799 Filed 9-7-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-01-P

## **FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

### **47 CFR Part 97**

[PR Docket No. 92-136; FCC 93-352]

#### **Relaxing Restrictions on the Scope of Permissible Communications in the Amateur Service; Correction**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains a correction to the final rule, which was published Friday, August 13, 1993 (58 FR 43071). The rule lessened restrictions on the scope of the



permissible communications that amateur stations may transmit.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 13, 1993.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

William T. Cross, Federal Communications Commission, Private Radio Bureau, Personal Radio Branch, Washington, DC 20554, (202) 632-4964.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

The final rule that is the subject of this correction revises § 97.113 on the effective date and effects the scope of the permissible communications that amateur stations may transmit.

#### Need for Correction

As published, the final rule inadvertently omitted paragraph (f) of § 97.113. This paragraph permits certain amateur stations to automatically retransmit the radio signals of other amateur stations.

#### Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the publication on August 13, 1993, of the final rule amending § 97.113, which was the subject of FR Doc. 93-19313, is corrected as follows:

#### § 97.113 [Corrected]

On page 43072, in the third column, in § 97.113, paragraph (f) is added to read as follows:

\* \* \* \* \*

(f) No amateur station, except an auxiliary, repeater, or space station, may automatically retransmit the radio signals of other amateur station.

William F. Caton,  
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 93-21727 Filed 9-7-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

### 48 CFR Parts 1808 and 1852

#### Interim Changes to the NASA FAR Supplement Pertaining to Restrictions on Printing and Duplication by Contractors

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Interim rule with request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The NASA FAR Supplement (NFS) clause on restrictions on printing and duplicating is revised to clarify the terms used and specific restrictions. This is being done in response to section 207 of the Legislative Branch Appropriation Act of 1993.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* This interim rule is effective September 8, 1993.

*Comments:* Comments must be received by October 8, 1993.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be addressed to Mr. Thomas L. Deback, Chief, Policy Development Branch B, Procurement Policy Division (Code HP), NASA Headquarters, Washington, DC 20546.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Mr. Thomas L. Deback, Telephone: (202) 358-0431.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

FAR subpart 8.8 sets forth the requirement that Government printing must be done by or through the Government Printing Office (GPO). The agency head of the GPO is called the Public Printer. Government agencies and their contractors are bound by the provisions of the Government Printing and Binding Regulations, published by Joint Committee on Printing, Congress of the United States. Audits performed by the Office of the Inspector General revealed that some contractors were performing printing services for the Government, as opposed to limited duplicating/copying of contract reports. Subsequently Public Law 102-392, section 207, Legislative Branch Appropriation Act of 1993, expanded the definition of "printing" to include silkscreen processes and microform, and forbade the use of appropriated funds for the procurement of any printing related to the production of Government publications (including printed forms) unless by or through the GPO.

The revised clause makes clear the prohibition is not only on any printing, but also on substantial duplicating/copying. Costs associated with these items are unallowable if the contractor does not receive prior written approval from the contracting officer. The contracting officer processes deviation requests in accordance with NFS 1808.802 and the Government Printing and Binding Regulations. Acceptable deviations are narrowly defined.

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

This interim rule is not expected to have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq. It is impossible to accurately estimate the number of small entities that will be impacted. It is anticipated that few, if any, entities doing business with NASA are involved.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

This interim rule does not impose any reporting or recordkeeping requirements which require the approval of OMB under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

#### List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 1808 and 1852

Government procurement.

Thomas S. Luedtke,

Acting Deputy Associate Administrator for Procurement.

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR parts 1808 and 1852 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

#### PART 1808—REQUIRED SOURCES OF SUPPLIES AND SERVICES

2. Subpart 1808.8 is revised to read as follows:

##### 1808.802 Policy.

Acquisition of printing or duplicating/copying is governed by the provisions of the Government Printing and Binding Regulations, No. 26, S. Pub. 101-9, U.S. Government Printing Office, published by the Joint Committee on Printing, U.S. Congress. Approval of printing supplies or services in contracts shall be in accordance with NMI 1490.1, NASA Printing Management Program. Regulations prohibit the use of appropriated funds for the acquisition of any printing and substantial duplicating/copying outside of the Government Printing Office (GPO). An exception to the restriction exists if the requirement meets all of the following: an individual order is under \$1,000, not of a continuing or repetitive nature, and the Public Printer certifies if cannot be provided more economically through the GPO. A request for an exception would be processed by the contracting officer, through NASA Headquarters Code JTT, to the Public Printer of the GPO; however, circumstances under which approval would be granted are rare.

##### 1808.870 Contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.208-81, Restrictions on Printing and Duplicating, in solicitations and contracts where there is a requirement for any printing, and/or any duplicating/copying in excess of that described in paragraph (c) of the clause.



# **PART 1852—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES**

## **1852.208-81 [Amended]**

3. Section 1852.208-81 is revised to read as follows:

## **1852.208-81 Restrictions on printing and duplicating.**

As prescribed in 1808.870, insert the following clause:

### **Restrictions on Printing and Duplicating (August 1993)**

(a) The Contractor shall reproduce any documentation required by this contract in accordance with the provisions of the Government Printing and Binding Regulations, No. 26, S. Pub. 101-9, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402, published by the Joint Committee on Printing, U.S. Congress.

(b) The Contractor shall not perform, or procure from any commercial source, any printing in connection with the performance of work under this contract. The term "printing" includes the processes of composition, platemaking, presswork, silk screen processes, binding, microform, and the end items of such processes and equipment.

(c) "Duplicating/copying" is not considered to be printing. It is material produced by duplicating equipment employing the lithographic process and automatic copy-processing or copier-duplicating machines employing electrostatic, thermal, or other copying processes not requiring the use of negatives or metal plates. The Contractor is authorized to duplicate production units provided the requirement does not exceed 5,000 production units of any one page or 25,000 units in the aggregate of multiple pages. Such plates may not exceed a maximum image size of 10 1/4 by 14 1/4 inches. A "production unit" is one sheet, size 8 1/2 x 11 inches (215 x 280 mm), one side only, and one color ink.

(d) This clause does not preclude writing, editing, preparation of manuscript copy, or preparation of related illustrative material as a part of this contract, or administrative duplicating/copying (for example, necessary forms and instructional materials used by the Contractor to respond to the terms of the contract).

(e) Costs associated with printing or duplicating/copying in excess of the limits set forth above are unallowable without prior written approval of the Contracting Officer. If the Contractor has reason to believe that any activity required in fulfillment of the contract will necessitate any printing or substantial duplicating/copying, it immediately shall provide written notice to the Contracting Officer and request approval prior to proceeding with the activity. Requests will be processed by the Contracting Officer in accordance with the provisions of the Government Printing and Binding Regulations and NFS 1808.802.

(f) The Contractor shall include in each subcontract which may involve a requirement for any printing and/or any

duplicating/copying in excess of the limits specified in paragraph (c) of this clause, a provision substantially the same as this clause, including this paragraph (f).

(End of clause)

[FR Doc. 93-21692 Filed 9-7-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

### **48 CFR Parts 2017 and 2052**

RIN 3150-AE78

### **Nuclear Regulatory Commission Acquisition Regulation; Minor Amendments**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule makes a number of minor corrective and conforming amendments to the NRC's acquisition regulation. The final rule is necessary to correct the errors and inform the public of the corrections.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 8, 1993.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Edward L. Halman, Director, Division of Contracts and Property Management, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, telephone (301) 492-4347.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On December 23, 1992 (57 FR 61152), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) published a final rule which expanded the existing Nuclear Regulatory Commission Acquisition Regulation (NRCAR) to implement and supplement the government-wide Federal Acquisition Regulation. The final rule established requirements for the procurement of goods and services within the NRC that were necessary to satisfy the particular needs of the agency. This document makes minor corrections and conforming changes to the NRCAR. The necessary changes are as follows:

Section 2017.204 is amended to revise the duration of contract extensions that the Head of the Contracting Activity may approve.

In part 2052, the "Security" clause is revised to identify the Changes Clause as the authority under which changes to the Commission's security regulations and requirements will be incorporated into a contract.

### **Administrative Procedure Act Waiver**

Because these amendments make minor corrections to an existing regulation pertaining to the acquisition

of goods and services by contract, the NRC has determined pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(2), that the rulemaking provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act do not apply.

### **Environmental Impact: Categorical Exclusion**

The NRC has determined that this rule is the type of action described in the categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c) (5) and (6). Therefore, neither an environmental impact statement nor an environmental assessment has been prepared for this final rule.

### **Paperwork Reduction Act Statement**

This final rule does not contain a new or amended information collection requirement subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.). Existing requirements were approved by the Office of Management and Budget, approval number 3150-0169.

### **Regulatory Analysis**

This final rule is administrative in that it corrects and conforms the text of an existing regulation. These amendments will not have a significant impact. Therefore, the NRC has not prepared a regulatory analysis for this final rule. The regulatory analysis for the NRCAR was contained in the final rule published December 23, 1992 (57 FR 61152).

### **Backfit Analysis**

The NRC has determined that the backfit rule, 10 CFR 50.109, does not apply to this final rule, and therefore, that a backfit analysis is not required for this final rule because these amendments do not involve any provision which would impose backfits as defined in 10 CFR 50.109(a)(1).

### **List of Subjects**

#### **48 CFR Part 2017**

Government procurement, Nuclear Regulatory Commission Acquisition Regulation.

#### **48 CFR Part 2052**

Government procurement, Nuclear Regulatory Commission Acquisition Regulation, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set out in the preamble and under the authority of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, and 5 U.S.C. 552 and 553, the NRC is adopting the following corrective amendments to 48 CFR parts 2017 and 2052.



**PART 2017—SPECIAL CONTRACTING METHODS**

1. The authority citation for part 2017 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 2201; 42 U.S.C. 5841; and 41 U.S.C. 418(b).

**2017.204 [Amended]**

2. In 2017.204(b), the phrase "one year" is revised to read "five years."

**PART 2052—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES**

3. The authority citation for part 2052 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 2201; 42 U.S.C. 5841; and 41 U.S.C. 418(b).

4. In 2052.204-70 paragraph (d) is revised to read as follows:

**2052.204-70 Security.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) Regulations. The contractor agrees to conform to all security regulations and requirements of the Commission which are subject to change as directed by the NRC Division of Security and the Contracting Officer. These changes will be under the authority of the changes clause.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of August, 1993.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Samuel J. Chilk,**

*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 93-21707 Filed 9-7-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration****50 CFR Part 675**

[Docket No. 921185-3021; I.D. 083193A]

**Groundfish of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Area**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Closure.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS is prohibiting retention of Atka mackerel in the Eastern Aleutian District (statistical area 541) and the Bering Sea (BS) subarea of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands management area (BSAI). NMFS is requiring that incidental catches of Atka mackerel be treated in the same manner as prohibited species and discarded at sea with a minimum of injury. This action is necessary because the Atka mackerel total allowable catch (TAC) specified for these areas has been reached.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** 12 noon, Alaska local time (A.l.t.), September 3, 1993, through 12 midnight, A.l.t., December 31, 1993.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Andrew N. Smoker, Resource Management Specialist, NMFS, 907-586-7228.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The groundfish fishery in the BSAI exclusive economic zone is managed by the Secretary of Commerce according to the Fishery Management Plan for the Groundfish Fishery of the BSAI (FMP)

prepared by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council under authority of the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act. Fishing by U.S. vessels is governed by regulations implementing the FMP at 50 CFR parts 620 and 675.

The Atka mackerel TAC specified for the combined Eastern Aleutian District and BS subarea (Eastern AI District/BS) was established by a revision to the final 1993 initial specifications (58 FR 37660, July 13, 1993) as 3,520 metric tons.

The Director of the Alaska Region, NMFS, has determined, in accordance with § 675.20(a)(9), that the Atka mackerel TAC specified for the combined Eastern AI District/BS has been reached. Therefore, NMFS is requiring that further catches of Atka mackerel in the Eastern AI District/BS be treated as prohibited species in accordance with § 675.20(c)(3), effective 12 noon, A.l.t., September 3, 1993, through 12 midnight, A.l.t., December 31, 1993.

**Classification**

This action is taken under § 675.20 and complies with E.O. 12291.

**List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 675**

Fisheries, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Authority:** 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: September 2, 1993.

**David S. Crestin,**

*Acting Director, Office of Fisheries Conservation and Management, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 93-21800 Filed 9-2-93; 3:57 pm]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-M